Artificial intelligence for material selection

Tom Andersson

VTT







Content

- Use case
- HEA/CCA
- Alloy design approach
- Design workflow
- Steps after the alloy design









Use case for AI designed alloy

Inserts (stars) (ARCELOR MITTAL)

 \rightarrow Main goal : to decrease repair damaged and cooled water consumption by improving high temperature strength and creep resistance in tunnel furnace







The project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under Grant Agreement N° 958374.

High Entropy Alloys (HEAs)/ Complex Consentrated Alloys (CCA)

Class of materials containing more than 4-5 principal elements that have a mixture of simple FCC, BCC, and HCP structures.

 They can appear in different phases : solid solution (SS), intermetallic (IM), amorphous (AM), or a mix of them.

Many HEAs have higher strength than traditional alloys even in elevated temperatures

- The BCC metals often have very high yield strengths with limited ductility.
- The FCC metals have high ductility but low strength

 \rightarrow The mixture of BCC + FCC is expected to possess balanced mechanical properties.







Challenges in HEA/CCA design

Hit and trial method

- No phase diagram
- Does not follow traditional (emperical) rules
- Huge number of combinations

Ab initio simulation

- Availability of suitable potentials?
- Huge computing power and time required

Parametric approach

- Relatively new material group
- Not enough scientific background

CALPHAD

- Limited reliable databases
- Time and cost effective





B

E

A

D

B

С

B

Ε

D

E

A

D

С

В

E

0



E

E

Workflow for generating new alloy candidates

- The used approach is based on Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN).
- Datasets have been developed, containing data from real samples, and used as a training data.
- After trained, the model can generate synthetic samples, based on the features (design parameters) learned from the real samples.



High entropy alloys design parameters:

- Based on literature a dataset was created.
- 1. 15 features (in the table)
- 2. Number of elements
- 3. Phase (amorphous, intermetallic, solid solution, and a mix of intermetallic + solid solution)
- 4. 78 chemical elements with their corresponding concentration

Features	Equations
Mean atomic radius Atomic size difference	$a = \sum_{i} c_{i} \cdot r_{i}$
	$\delta = \sqrt{\sum_{i} \left(c_{i} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{r_{i}}{\sum_{i} c_{i} \cdot r_{i}} \right) \right)}$
Average melting temperature	$T_m = \sum_i c_i \cdot T_{mi}$
Standard deviation of melting temperature	$\sigma_T = \sqrt{\sum_i c_i \cdot \left(1 - \frac{T_{mi}}{T_m}\right)^2}$
Mixing enthalpy	$\Delta H_{mix} = 4 \sum_{i \neq j} c_i \cdot c_j \cdot H_{ij}$
Standard deviation of mixing enthalpy	$\sigma_{\Delta H} = \sqrt{\sum_{i \neq j} c_i \cdot c_j \cdot \left(H_{ij} - \Delta H_{mix}\right)^2}$
Mixing entropy	$\Delta S_{mix} = -R \sum_i c_i \cdot \log c_i$
Electronegativity	$\chi = \sum_i c_i \cdot \chi_i$
Standard deviation of electronegativity	$\Delta \chi = \sqrt{\sum_{i} \left(c_{i} (\chi_{i} - \chi)^{2} \right)}$
Valence electron concentration	$VEC = \sum_i c_i \cdot VEC_i$
Standard deviation of VEC	$\sigma_{VEC} = \sqrt{\sum_{i} \left(c_i \cdot (VEC_i - VEC)^2 \right)}$
Mean bulk modulus	$K = \sum_{i} c_i \cdot K_i$
Standard deviation of bulk modulus	$\sigma_{K} = \sqrt{\sum_{i} c_{i} \cdot (K_{i} - K)^{2}}$
Young modulus	$E = \sum_{i} c_i E_i$
Shear modulus	$G = \sum_i c_i G_i$





_

Training the model



	Gener	ator	Discriminator		
Layer	Type Dimension		Туре	Dimension	
Input	Latent + Cond.	90	Features + Cond	71	
Hidden 1	Dense layer	256	Dense layer	256	
Hidden 2	Dense layer	128	Dense layer	128	
Output	Dense layer	71	Dense layer	1	





Validation of GAN

➤Validation methods

- Verification of generated samples which were not included at the training dataset
- Comparison between DFT-based calculation and NN enthalpy for HEA.









Materials Design Tool

Tool for generating high entropy alloys based on this methodology.

🎆 Generation of candidates		×	
Materials design tool V1.0 🛛 & ×			
High Entropy Alloys			
Polymer Derived Ceramics			

	🎆 Generation	of candidates – 🛛	×					
•	High Entropy Alloy	S	ē ×					
	Number of samples: 10							
	Melting temperature range (°C): 800 2000							
	Route: Results	Heas.xlsx						
	Samples without screening							
	Samples with screening							





Screening for simulation

Periodic table of the elements



- Initial approach:
- Noble gases and radioactive elements removed
- A total of 78 chemical elements remained



*Numbering system adopted by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC). © Encyclopædia Britannica, Inc.





Screening for simulation

Periodic table of the elements



*Numbering system adopted by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC). © Encyclopædia Britannica, Inc.



- Radioactive elements removed.
- Rare-earth elements removed.
- Toxic elements removed.
- Expensive elements removed.
- Elements with $T_{\rm m}$ > 2000 °C removed.
- A total of 19 chemical elements remained.

$$\binom{19}{5} = 11\ 628 \qquad \binom{19}{6} = 27\ 132$$
$$\binom{19}{7} = 50\ 388 \qquad \binom{19}{8} = 75\ 582$$





Screening for simulation

- Following prior experience on suitable materials for the use case, compounds that include Ni, Al and Si were promoted.
- No more than 35% per chemical element.



% chemical





Evaluate GAN results

- Only one new alloy will be produced
- Used TC (and prior experience) to evaluate the GAN results → some elements are not in relevant database (TCHEA4) thus alloys needed to be neglected or modified
- Fast screening of candidate by verifying the solidus/liquidus temperatures
- Phase equilibrium diagrams messy in many alloys → presence of wanted phases?

At%								A	t%	
	Nd	Ti	AI	Cu	Мо	no Nd in TCHEA4	Ti	AI	Cu	Мо
	22.2	22.2	22.2	22.2	11.1		28.6	28.6	28.6	14.3





The project has received f research and innovation p

AlB2CoCr4.5FeMoNNiSn3TiV



- B and C only as minor alloying elements
- Co expensive (and health issues)
- Exclude compositions with too low solidus and liquidus
- Neglect alloys with elements with too high liquidus -> not manufacturable with current means

ut[630]= {Al, B, C, Co, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Si, Ti, T_liquidus, T_solidus}

Out[631]//MatrixForm=		
(0.25, 0., 0., 0.25, 0., 0., 0., 0.25, 0., 0.25)	1812.72	1694.57
{0.25, 0., 0., 0., 0., 0.25, 0.25, 0., 0., 0.25}	1676.6	1602.61
{0.222222, 0., 0., 0.222222, 0., 0.222222, 0.222222, 0.111111, 0., 0.	1776.91	1602.4
<pre>(0.25, 0., 0., 0.25, 0., 0., 0., 0.25, 0., 0.25)</pre>	1812.72	1694.57
{0.125, 0.375, 0., 0., 0., 0.1875, 0.1875, 0., 0., 0.125}	5398.68	1680.49
{0.0830565, 0., 0., 0.415282, 0.0332226, 0.468439, 0., 0., 0., 0.}	1692.77	1669.86
{0.0322581, 0.903226, 0., 0.0645161, 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0.}	2298.85	1625.04
(0.138889, 0., 0.0277778, 0., 0., 0.277778, 0.277778, 0., 0., 0.277778	} 4824.59	1612.48
(0.25, 0., 0., 0.25, 0., 0.25, 0., 0.25, 0., 0.)	1779.37	1684.37
<pre>(0., 0., 0., 0.222222, 0.222222, 0.222222, 0., 0.333333, 0., 0.)</pre>	1664.51	1609.29
(0.222222, 0., 0., 0.222222, 0., 0.222222, 0., 0.333333, 0., 0.)	1733.83	1633.74
{0.25, 0., 0., 0.25, 0., 0.25, 0., 0.25, 0., 0.}	1779.37	1684.37
(0.243902, 0., 0., 0.243902, 0., 0.243902, 0., 0.243902, 0.0243902, 0.	} 1770.58	1610.74
{0.25, 0., 0., 0.25, 0., 0.25, 0., 0.25, 0., 0.}	1779.37	1684.37
{0.25, 0., 0., 0.25, 0., 0.25, 0., 0.25, 0., 0.}	1779.37	1684.37
<pre>(0.25, 0., 0., 0.25, 0., 0., 0., 0.25, 0., 0.25)</pre>	1812.72	1694.57
(0.142857, 0., 0., 0.285714, 0., 0.285714, 0., 0.285714, 0., 0.)	1648.31	1637.36
{0.25, 0., 0., 0., 0., 0.25, 0.25, 0.25, 0.)	1901.11	1610.97
(0.176471, , , , , 0.235294, 0.235294, 0.235294, 0.117647,)	2001.51	1654.5

(*note from above, there are some rather decent ones which comprise of cheap elements, i.e., Al, Fe,Mn; with Ni-Ti*)

Candidate alloy







The project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under Grant Agreement N° 958374.

Virtual microstructure: Workflow





Dislocation based crystal plasticity model for HEA





Dislocation based Crystal Plasticity - Temperature effect - Single crystal [001]

Smaller grain size increases flow stress



Stress



Example of microstructure design: load carrying capacity





Thank you!

tom.andersson@vtt.fi andrea.gregores@aimen.es

